UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America	a ,
v. James Earl Austin) Case No: 4:10-CR-68-1BR
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	August 1, 2011 Ougust 1, 2011 Ougust 1, 2011 Defendant's Attorney Ougust 1, 2011 Defendant's Attorney
ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □DENIED. □GRANTED a in the last judgment issued) of 144 concurrent; Count 3 remains 60 months,	and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected months is reduced to 120 months on Counts 1 and 10, consecutive.
If the amount of time the defendant has already served exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence, subject to an additional period of up to ten (10) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.	
(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)	
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment(s) dated August 1, 2011 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: 2/24/2016	Hal But
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	W. Earl Britt, Senior U.S. District Judge Printed name and title